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ABSTRACT
A paradigm shift to the prefix ‘di’ (meaning master/leader/head; pronounced “dee”), as in dimgba (master wrestler), as opposed to the prefix ‘umu’, as in umua (daughters of the lineage) and umunna (sons of the soil), could be the panacea for the endemic unequal gendered power relations in Igbo land. This article will examine construction of maleness and femaleness in Igbo land, with a view to addressing the masculinity and femininity imbalance, and redefining social power relations, labor roles, activities and expectations. Obioma Nwaezeaka’s clarion call to indigenous feminist theorists to embrace a homegrown “Akatawa”, read synergistic, feminist theory furnishes us with a radical theoretical framework that we can use to help modify earlier indigenous African feminisms and gender inequality in Igbo land. Our theory, di-feminism, targets both women and men as gender performers and so discourses male gender invisibility. Then, because Igbo society assigns roles, responsibilities and expectations, a redefining of social division of labor in these postmodern times is also in order. Finally, given that Igbo land currently going through post-civil war economic stagnation is in dire need of (re)development and for women to reach their full potentials as human beings, needed are redistribution of power relations, erasure of gender inequality, and awareness and recognition that gender opportunity differences are neither natural nor inevitable and hurt both men and women.

INTRODUCTION
In the nineteenth century, the central moral challenge was slavery. In the twentieth century, it was the battle against totalitarianism. We believe that in this century the paramount moral challenge will be the struggle for gender equality around the world.


EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES GIVEN TO WOMEN, FEMALES, just like men/males, will enable all to be the best that they can be as human beings and so contribute their optimum best to society. Many, who imbibe the idea of cisgender, would agree that women and persons of the female gender do not have it nearly as easy as do men and persons of the male gender. Even though gender is a spectrum of flexibility and fluidity, a social construction that has no single or fixed state, it imposes the yardstick for behavioral expectations and role performances. Indeed, it is the incongruous nature of sex and genders that conditions division of labor in the social institutions along the lines of gender roles for males and females. Moreover, the misunderstanding of gender and gender identity exaggerates gender differences and causes gender inequality and male domination in society. Then, descriptive norms cast women as lacking in self-esteem and self-confidence, as untrustworthy, weak, dependent, mere followers, incompetent in leadership positions, but men as self-confident, worthy of trust, hard-working, aggressive, independent, leaders, ambitious, and dominant. Such opinions are from the past and should belong there.

In this essay, we argue that in these contemporary times, such opinions are fit for the archives, and that gender equality in opportunities should go hand in hand with human development. Surely, women have suffrage now in most cultures of the world, though it was not always so. Many women also can get educated now, for parents in particular and society in general no longer believe that a woman’s place in the home should be limited to the triangle of bedroom, sitting room, and kitchen. Many women now working outside the home for pay are not about to give up their careers to go back home and sit down doing domestic chores. The question, though, is how much respect, freedom and regard do women have in carrying out their daily routines and activities.

RELEVANCE OF INDIGENOUS AFRICAN FEMINIST THEORY TODAY
As the epigraph above suggests, the second decade of the millennium calls for intensified feminist activism. As a movement and a social theory free of socially constructed restraints and obstacles, feminism needs to reflect the reality of women’s and men’s lives in this epoch and in particular cultural spaces. Furthermore, there should be strength and ability in fellowship of leadership positions and equal opportunities and shared responsibilities for men and women, with an aim to recognize especially women who are downtrodden, in order to give them a sense of their worthiness as contributing and effective members of society. There is no better opportunity moment than now to seek equality of opportunities for men and women, in order to enable them to be the best that they can be and so contribute their optimum best in society.

This study proposes to change the situation of lack of equal opportunities between the genders, to call off anger where it exists against the male, stop the demonization of women by men, and finally install a new dawn of understanding of the real problem as sexism, gender inequality and male domination. It will examine the relevance of feminism today, review the present situation of African feminism, the historical contexts, precolonial, colonial and contemporary, reflect on the shortcomings of the indigenous feminist theories and theorists, contemplate the possibilities and probabilities that di-feminism, a new approach this article suggests could bring, and finally attempt to suggest some practical considerations.

But, why propound a feminist theory to frame the Igbo woman’s situation at this
present time with so many issues and concerns about the female condition waiting to be treated? Are feminist theorizing and theories still relevant in view of the social, political and economic urgent needs of urban and rural women in Africa? What would be the value of a feminist theory to carry the details of gender imbalance in Igbooland? These are cogent questions that need answers, for theory due to its abstract nature can many times become a distraction from seeking solutions to the task at hand. Still, theory can help to frame problems better, so that adequate solutions can be found. I am favorable to theorizing on the question of gender and development in Igbooland, because I believe that the present disparate energies in the land need to be harnessed for a better future for all. I do not intend to cause further mystification of the female condition by bringing forth yet another theory. The contrary is the case; simplify and aid simplification. Put simply, the value of theory is to make abstractions of empirical material and to use them to deal with the issues and concerns of the people from whom the materials are collected.

Feminist theorists should ensure that theory generated will tackle the problems of sexism, gender inequality and male domination in a way that will make sense to the people whose issues and concerns are theorized. Theorists make use of indigenous material in the lives of the people they know and understand. For these reasons, theory should not intimidate the people, nor should it obstruct their view of reality. On the contrary, when closer to reality it should be more appealing to the women and men the theorists want to reach and serve. Observe that because sociological issues and problems are forever multiplying, changing and modifying, theorists should also be ready to change their minds with new awareness, for theory should do more than merely protect the vulnerable, weak, subjugated and submissive women. That would be tantamount to mere survival, maintenance of the status quo, and inability to change anything. That way, development and progress would continue to stagnate and elude society.

Pertinent, therefore, is the caution by philosopher, Paulin J. Hountondji, on the need for theory that would steer Africans from merely condoning traditionalism, but rather towards theories that would in themselves generate other debates and theories, in order to move Africans forward towards change. He says, “if theoretical discourse is to be meaningful in modern Africa, it must promote within African society itself a theoretical debate of its own that is capable of developing its themes and problems autonomously instead of remaining a remote appendix to European theoretical and scientific debates”. ii

The various indigenous attempts at African feminist theory so far have various faces, as the thinkers grapple with what it means to be a man or woman or male or female in postmodern times. Yet the problem with the pioneers of Igbo feminism thought is that they have merely rationalized what is observable, that is, how Igbo women employ words, read trickster strategies, to navigate their way through the rugged terrain of rigid social structures, mind-sets and attitudes by men in keeping them submissive and subjugated. This strategy, “playing the male game” in Nigerian speak, keeps women and men in perpetual, endless loggerheads or merry-go-rounds of no escape, of absurd normality. If women want to just keep reacting to sexist views from men, views that see all women as a subjugated and submissive unit of weak, fickle, inferior second class citizens, then they are in for a long period of continued subjugation and submission to men and males.

Poststructural and postmodern theorists seek to deconstruct the status quo and de-essentialize male impositions that define women as subjects with rigid identity from nature that cannot be transcended through free will and choice, just like men are privileged and able to do. Helen Chukwuma, one of the foremost Nigerian feminist scholars and critics, for example, examined feminism as women’s reaction to denial of their human rights in Women’s Quest for Rights: African Feminist Theory in Fiction, saying:

Women’s rights and women’s beings have always been taken for granted, nicely and safely tucked away under the bed of patriarchy. Silence was the virtue of women and passivity their garner, but it was not always so. Traditional societies in pre-colonial times had spheres of power and influence for women in closely-knit organizations that helped them maintain a voice. Colonialism has its merits but its new culture of ascendancy through education, white-collar jobs and money-driven economy relegated women down the ladder. With women’s education came exposure and awareness and the inevitable reaction. Feminism is a reaction; it is an assertion of being, rights and status. Literature has proved a worthy tool in interrogating the female condition. The silence was broken by women writers in the mid-sixties on the continent which correspondingly was the era of political independence of quite a number of African States. The decade that followed, witnessed shades of feminist writing by African women and has advanced the women’s cause of recognition and relevance. iii

Feminist theory is, therefore, sympathetic to women’s yearnings for gender equality, while opposing sexism, racism, ageism, and all other intersections of unjust and discriminatory practices. For Ifeoma Odinye:

Women traditionally had been regarded as being inferior to men, physically and intellectually. Nowadays, women have started showing remonstrance on the limitations meted on them by society. As a result of this, they have become consciously aware and have started asking questions.

Observe that rejection of traditional stipulations that are inimical to women’s rights does not mean not embracing those that foster women’s strength and fulfillment. From this angle of vision, Katherine Frank’s assertion that tradition works against the interest of individual women, because it caters to the group of women, should be reconsidered. We believe there should not be a dichotomy between tradition and feminism, for both work for individual women as well as groups of women. Individual women and groups of women have had their dawn of awareness in history, dawn which ushered in their self-assertion and revolt against the oppression of their gender identity.

Development is also worthily of consideration, for what is the value of gender equality if it does not lead to development in the land? Feminism in Africa is less about sexual revolution than it is about economic revolution and ability to participate in the political systems of the nation as a gateway to alleviating endemic female poverty, lack of adequate healthcare and bogs of tradition and customs that keep women down. Such a custom as female circumcision or excision appears to have had its usefulness in the past and does not seem justifiable today. Given that time has passed and eroded with it any justifications there were for it, nothing these days justifies this age-old rite of passage for young girls. To wit, the Nigerian federal government’s recent outlawing of female circumcision throughout the country is laudable.ivi Such nation-wide laws are needed to oppose customary practices that are harmful to women, which could be inhumane from one pocket of culture to another pocket of culture, such as rites of widowhood and the caste system. Inheritance
laws that prevent girls from inheriting their fathers, and bars women and wives from inheriting property from their dead husbands also need to be revised. Women have always been against these rites, but have...women have a lot to offer society.

The United Nations Agency for Development (UNAD) has its three key areas of focus as sustainable development, democratic governance, and risk prevention and resilience. These areas of focus include women's access to justice, fairness, and reparations when wronged. It would also include creating jobs that women would be allowed to join, such as the police force, often the exclusive preserve of men, adult literacy programs, vocational training to allow more women into the workforce to earn their living, economic policies that enable all citizens equally to realize themselves, and the prevention of violence against women, violence in the form of rape and domestic battery. These measures are especially important to a country like Nigeria still suffering from the throes of the brutal three-year civil war of 1967-1970 that saw over two million citizens dead and many more physically and psychologically maimed. Although there have been a measure of post-conflict reconstructions, yet not all damaged infrastructure have come back to normal. Although the citizens are steadily recovering, yet issues still remain, including the callousness with which citizens treat other citizens, due to the brutalizing effect of the war. There were, for example, the Bar Beach state-approved executions in Lagos by firing squads for the least offence, kidnappings by gangs of unemployed male youth, whose only avenue to recover their masculinity is through gun violence, rape, robbery and abductions of law-abiding citizens. Many girls and women have been coerced or overt prostitution to make ends meet. So, therefore, needed are methods of recovery that must take into account the needs of male youth and those of female youth affected by the traumas of the civil war. Clearly, one-size, no doubt, does not fit all, for whereas the men carried guns and killed to avoid being killed, women, though effaced, also played active support roles during the war. That is to say, men's and women's experiences of the war were quite different, and any worthwhile post-civil-war efforts at reconstruction should have and now should take gender-specific needs into account.

As Becky L. Jacobs states in her essay, "Unbound by Theory and Naming: Survival Feminism and The Women of the South African Victoria Mxenge Housing and Development Association," time has come for feminist theorists to question practices that degrade African women:

The emergence of a uniquely African formulation of feminism is one of the most energizing developments in feminist theory and discourse in recent history. As African women confront unprecedented economic and political challenges, they also are questioning, and, in some instances, redefining, individual and societal orthodoxies of gender and family roles.

A redefinition of masculinity and femininity is needed in Igbo land, because punishment in the way of arrests and killing has not worked to deter kidnappers and would-be kidnappers. It is important to try other measures, including negotiation, counseling, in order to stem the belief that the youth are excluded from society as poor, jobless, unwanted, and violent persons. The same goes for girls and females with regard to education, career, marriage, sexual abuse, including harassment and rape, STDs and HIV/AIDS, and sex-trafficking. Men and women suffer differently from noblesness, are differently allowed access to land, property, especially property accumulated during a marriage, finance and bank credits and loans. They are also differentially impacted by the negative environment, such as customs, courts and general business administration guidelines in which they are not savvy and that forbid them to do certain types of business. Women, more than men, suffer when development in the private sector is hampered in this way.

This study promotes equal opportunities for the genders, and calls off anger where it exists against the male, the demonization of women by men, and finally installs a new dawn of understanding of the real problem as sexism, leading to gender inequality and male domination.

T

STORIES OF FEMALE EMPOWERMENT AND RESILIENCE

Three anecdotes encapsulate gender issues presented in the opening pages. First, on personal history, growing up, I just knew from all happening around me that there were some things I could not, did not take. With advanced education and awareness, those reactions now appear to be feminist. One was the incessant nudge to cut my formal education too early, so that my siblings coming after could go as far as I have gone. That was to affect my high school level and with extreme difficulty higher school level education. The attempts to have me stop all these years actually went hand in hand with endless streams of suitors coming to marry me away. A girl from a poor family was always a target for early marriage, since her bride wealth was badly needed to take care of the male offspring in the family. I simply knew that I did not want to enter a man's house without skills enough to padle my own canoe, should the situation arise. Then, I was not aware of Section 34 (b) of the Nigerian Constitution's provision to the effect that "no person shall be held in bondage or servitude..." The Golden Fleece earned, I soon realized how afraid men could be of the so-called 'acada', read university-educated women who seem to peer into men's souls, so-called 'daughters of Flora Nwapa,' meaning academic women to be boycotted. I understood. And I was preparing myself for life-long spinsterhood, with some two children, to keep each other company while I pursued my career as an educated, employed, happy, and self-sufficient woman. But then, love came my way, and that changed everything.

In the recent past, I had to function as a general contractor to finish the building of our village house. It had dawned on us that things had to change or we might go bankrupt and never finish building the house. What you did not want fellow villagers to see was that we could not build us a house, not what led to the house not being completed. As the woman, you would be blamed for not helping your husband adequately enough to build a house. Everything bad is always blamed on the woman (such as the house not being completed), and good things (like the house being completed) are credited to the man. I felt compunction to go down and finish the house, and what a great opportunity that opened my eyes further to gender perception.

Older men saw my womanly intervention as forage into the domain of men, something that should not be. I persisted. Other men threw quips when they could, making allusion to the fact that most of the work had already been done and that I was merely doing the finishing work, reaping glory from work I had not started: "Nwoke nusia oga Nwanyi ewenu akukwu" (literally, a woman telling the story of her battle exploits about a war that a man had waged, successfully). I persisted, rejoining that "nawenyi bu ile" (a woman also accomplishes feats). I persisted. It was interesting that as the weeks went on and I did not appear to be sinking under the weight of the building, I began to earn more respect. Women are often thought of as the last to be consulted, that what I have done is really great. He then proceeded to add that not only a woman knew he could have been able to do it. I responded by saying he should have said that not many women 'would have done it', because they know they are not expected to do such things. Coming from the outside the community, as it were, I did not feel bound by the mores, and besides, we had come to a point where we did not have any more money to throw away from the work on the house. I persisted. Comments are now from my co-wives. Sometimes, they were downright envious or jealous, saying that it was a 'short hand' that prevented them from doing as much I have done. If they were given money by their husbands to do this kind of work, they said, they could have executed the building of their own houses. At other times, I saw solidarity of sorts, when other women came along helping with this the kobo here and kobo there they earn selling peppers and leafy vegetables in the open market they could 'buy' the men in their lives over and over again.

Second, on clan history, towards the late 1940s and early 1950s, in Ogidi village of Anambra State of Nigeria, there lived a person that would be described in the current language of sexuality and gender today as a cross-dresser or at best a transsexual. ix Ilegu-onye (the one-who-does-what-she-wants-to-do), as she named herself or was named by the community, was a paraphrase that described her radical, perhaps even revolutionary, gender performance when she changed her female gender to match her male gender identity, according to how she felt within her body. Ilegu-onye rose up one morning from bed and decided to realign her biological sex that nature gave her to match her perceived male gender. To achieve this gender conformity, Ilegu-onye sliced off her two mammary glands, her breasts with her kitchen knife, doped a male garb, thus ending her ordeal of a 'man' trapped in a 'woman's body. For Ilegu-onye, living with her biological sex, which did not match her male gender was a daily torture. Thereafter, Ilegu-onye wore male clothes, and conducted his affairs in the family and the community as a male. Ilegu-onye never backed down and never looked back.

Why did Ilegu-onye do this, one might ask? Was it to 'pass' for the opposite sex? Second, was it to gain freedom to do things, for it was always men who did lofty things in the community? Third, did she take to her carving knife for economic reasons, to escape poverty and lowly birth, through becoming adventurous and so able to travel far from home to fend for self as men did? Fourth, was it so that she could inherit family property, which was the exclusive reserve of men? Was taking the path to a man's life an option to escape the fate of a married woman or face life as a prostitute, a free woman in the community?
Finally and fifthly, did turning into a man allow Ifegulu-Onye to transcend her female condition, a lowly state of being that made femininity second-class to masculinity? By refusing her female identity, therefore, did Ifegulu-Onye signaled to the community that she understood that both genders were not equal, and that one gender, the male gender, got to dominate the other gender, female, exaggerating gender differences that should not inevitably lead to the oppression of the female gendered persons? At first, her community was stunned and aghast, but over time it learned tolerance and eventually acceptance. Secretly, many applauded Ifegulu-onye for courage in making the choice to live up to his conviction.

Ifegulu-Onye’s story, like my personal story, is a streak of lightning, a burst of energy by an individual to accomplish a gender performance that many women had they not been fettered by traditional customs, mores and expectations to the point that they had become perversus to them and incapable of action would have liked to do. Ifegulu-Onye in particular was a victim of thoughts that prevailed in her days that one had to be a man or male to get things done, to gain ‘real’ respect in the community. Had it been possible for her to affirm herself otherwise, Ifegulu-Onye might not have taken the drastic and radical measure of slashing off her breasts, which came with possibilities of womanhood and motherhood. Had Ifegulu-onye had the opportunities she craved to perform her gender in a masculine way, sometimes, although possessing a woman’s body, there was the likelihood that she would not have operated on herself and would have been a full contributing member of her community in leadership roles and other aggressive roles perceived to be masculine.

Third, on colonial history, a group of Igbo women in 1929 is another case in point; they rose up as a unitary woman would and with one voice and single-mindedness of purpose waged war against the oppressive measures put in place by the conquering British colonial government. Through the three arms of the military, the executive and legislative powers, the British administration’s District Officer (D. O.), the Church (the clergy) and the School (teachers) and the Court (judges), incessantly taxed the poor. This resulted in the de facto removal of the husbands from their homes to work in the cash-crop farms to support the British economy. Back in Europe, it was the era of industrial revolution, and in effect Igbo men slaved on their lands as laborers working for their masters, and paying taxes from whatever little they earned, leaving them weak, spent and with not enough to take care of their families. Under the circumstances, they were often unable to perform their conjugal obligations with their spouses in their matrimonial homes.

On the fateful day, in 1929, the Igbo women of Aba marched on government hill. They stoned the District Office, who was forced to call for reinforcements. When the reinforcements came, they too were again stoned by the women. The enraged women would not back down or leave government hill until their demands were met. The people called it the Igbo Women’s ‘War’, but the British called it the Igbo Women’s ‘Riot’. What was unclear at the time was that what took place was a strategy, albeit on a grandiose scale, which many an Igbo woman would usually employ to bend a recalcitrant husband for derecognition of duties and obligations to wife and family. They called it ‘sitting on a man’. Therefore, the Aba women at war with the British had employed the Igbo women’s radical strategy of ‘sitting on a man’ when aggrieved. Such a recalcitrant woman would ‘sit’ on her abusive husband’s homestead, refusing to cooperate with food preparation, sex and emotional company until her husband promised to change his ways. Sometimes, it was a group of wives that ‘sat’ on their offending men. Felicia Ibezim described the phenomenon very succinctly in “The Concept of ‘Sitting on a Man’”, when she wrote:

Sitting on a man is a punitive traditional method of disciplining a man or a husband who has strayed from the right path and who has mistreated or abused his wife or another woman. Sitting on a man is a punitive way of getting a man who has violated the norms and rules of the village to meet the Igbo women’s demands. If for instance, a woman complains that her husband has been hitting her, abusing her and mistreating her or a man was found to have broken the women’s market rules, the women may decide to impose a fine on the offender. If fines for violations or repeated requests to the offending husband to comply with the women’s demands fails, the women may decide to sit on the man or go on strike. The women may decide to ostracize the man, that is, all women will decide after deliberations, not to talk to the offender or have anything to do with him until their demands are met.

To sit on a man is to make war on a man, to force him to comply with the rules of the village especially as it pertains to women. If, after, he fails to pay the fine, the women would gather at the offender’s compound at an agreed upon time. The women would begin singing, dancing and insulting and deriding the man, calling him designating names and banging loudly on his door with wooden pestles and big sticks. In some extreme cases, they may pull the roof down. While singing the sarcastic song, the women would make known their grievances against the man. They would stay at the man’s house all night and all day, if they need to, until the man shows some contrite and promise to correct his ways and meet the women’s demands. Sitting on a man is therefore the ultimate weapon or sanction used by Igbo women to enforce their judgments.

The sitting on a man or making war on a man concept or going on a strike shows that the Igbo women’s social, political and economic institutions existed before the British colonized Nigeria and changed the course of things in southeastern Nigeria. In a household a woman may decide to sit on her husband by refusing to cook for him, to take care of him or have sex with him. x

From the present perspective of our study, we posit that if more women, individually and in groups, would exhibit courage, audaciousness and ability to follow their dreams as Ifegulu-Onye or the Aba women in 1929, there would soon be more and more women to applaud for their contributions to social, political and economic development in postmodern Igboiland.

It was the contact with the British Empire, along with its Christian teachings of subordination of the female to the male, of woman to the man that bolstered female subjugation in Igboiland. Starting
directly with the issues that led to the Aba Women’s war of 1929 (Ibezim 2013: 16; 65-74), which disrupted the near-perfect gender dualism that existed in Igboland; the British colonial idea of womanhood was seriously challenged. Nonetheless, the 1929 Aba women’s war also has the benefit of showing that women of Igboland could turn into activists when the situation demanded that of them.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON EARLIER INDIGENOUS FEMINIST THEORIES

As stated earlier, this article aims to articulate di-feminism as an indigenous feminist theory, which challenges customary laws that contribute to gender inequality in Igboland. It shall examine earlier indigenous feminisms, which grapple with the sordid condition of women, questioning its continued reliance on survival strategies, redefining their efforts to liberate women from sexism, and center women, just as men are centered.

The review of literature on feminism tends to draw a divide between western (North, Developed, Advantaged nations) and non-western (South, Under-developed, developing, and disadvantaged nations) feminisms. Whereas western, privileged and bourgeois women fight for equal pay and equality with their men at home, in the workplace and in other public institutions, non-western women are more preoccupied with survival as human beings and are less enchanted with the preoccupations of their western counterparts.

First, non-western women tend to levy adverse criticism on the westerners whom they see as theorizing in an empty, meaningless fashion, just because they would prefer to see activism that would mean a lot to their lives. bell hooks has criticized extensively liberal feminism, due to its advantages to the western women and disadvantages to the non-western women. xi On the contrary, non-western feminism engages in performance, doing, joining practice to theory, whereas western theory tends to be aloof and abstract, and seeming removed from the reality of the lives of women it is intended to improve, as Ohionma Nnaemeka has proferred in “Nego-Feminism: Theorizing, Practicing, and Pruning Africa’s Way”: xii

Therefore, our attempts to redefine gender in Igboland must necessarily take into account the global-local equation, how to adapt the global to the local in a way that it makes sense to the people without destroying the people’s culture. Still that does not imply in any way swallowing the bitter pill of condoning the status quo, in order not to rock the boat, for that would mean accepting that change is not inevitable.

Second, non-western feminism rejects a global sisterhood that puts all women of the world on the same level, refusing to see the obvious material advantages that women of the North have over those of the South, women who daily battle cultural norms and laws that put them down as inferior, inconsequential and secondary citizens. For example, Anthropologist Ibi Amadiume accuses western women of practicing imperialism, using the non-western victims to promote their own elitist and abstract feminist theory.

Feminism at the intersections of race, ethnicity, class, and colonization and its effects then and now become relevant in discussing women’s experiences from non-western regions of the world. Marxist feminism has grappled with the triple oppression of women in matters of gender, race and class, but not always with success, given the variety of women in the world concerned, women who are not all at the same economic status or have had other and the same experiences of life.

No wonder many African writers have distanced themselves from feminism as such, even when what they do is clearly feminist. Flora Nwapa, for example rejected the label, contenting herself with defining herself merely as a woman who knows something about the lives of women she writes about. Buchi Emecheta had a good laugh on a man who thought he knew Nwapa very well as a serious woman who did not want to wallow into the feminist debate, when Buchi knew that feminists were paying for Nwapa’s visit to London to give talks. Even then, Buchi described herself as a feminist with a small f. Ama Ata Aidoo also rejected the label, although one can see from her life and work that the label very well fits her. The list could go on endlessly. But, suffice it to say that the indigenizing of feminism in Africa started with the denial, first of western one-size-fit-all feminism, second the denial of prominent African women writers that are to be seen as feminists, and third the attempts now by prominent scholars to theorize a feminism that would take into account their culture, country and a particular gender issue that grows in their environs.

It is from this angle that I have attempted to propound di-feminism, a feminist theory that dares to depart from the norm, by questioning it and putting it up for examination, so that social change on gender could occur in the land for continued development of all the people, male and female. One cardinal aspect of culture examined is the notion of motherhood so dear to African women. This is not the idealized ‘Mother Africa’ trope made famous by Senegalese Senghor, which has little or no relevance to the ordinary African woman. Here, we examine the fact that many Africans, contrary to women of the North, proudly identify themselves as the mother of so, so and so. It is not uncommon to be addressed as the “Mother of...” before you are called by your first name (which is still an insult to a grown up woman, mother in Africa). Not many African women would seek liberation from motherhood, for indeed they embrace motherhood as liberating.

We have seen earlier above how Igbo women galvanized into a formidable force as wives and mothers to successfully overthrow British oppression under colonialism. Today, women still wield their strongest a tout as wives and mothers, for the African is marriage inclined and pro-natalist. So, any feminist theory worth its salt should take into account these cultural traits that the people are not about to forego. Clearly, it has become difficult to define feminism, because it has to cater to too many experiences, situations, color of skin and women in different locations in the world. For example, how does one merge the desires of lesbian feminism with motherism? How does one merge black feminism and its racism against race and class issues in the West with African feminism that has different class and ethnic issues? The fact that African women are now attempting to theorize feminism shows their disenchantment with black feminism that does not often speak to them, just as white feminism did not represent their values. xiii
The doyen of black feminism by a large breath is, of course, Alice Walker, who propounded “womanism” in her essay, In Search of Our Mother’s Gardens: Womanist Prose, in 1983, to get away from the stigma of ‘black’ in defining the kind of feminism that makes meaning to her. As an African American woman, she was able to interject race and class issues into a discourse that would ordinarily have ended with skin color. Thereafter, to be a womanist and to adhere to womanism became a matter of ‘shades of color’, of nuance, for “womanist is to feminist, as purple is to lavender.” Womanism as a theory, therefore, attacks patriarchal attitude that sees the female, gender as weak and subservient, replacing it with women’s strength, competence, courage, audaciousness, sense of responsibility, knowledge, and the list goes on.

To be womanish, says Alice Walker, is to assume the attitudes of a woman, to be ready to respond and cope as situations dictate. This way and manner of behavior is observable with female black children even from an early age, she adds. A womanist, then, is one who is an activist and an advocate of women’s capabilities. Flora Nwapa, for example, in her life, literary, communal and national works was one. Her first novel, Efuru, mirrors the psychological and spiritual itineraries of the heroine of the eponymous title, from her initial call to adventure to her apotheosis as a human goddess fit to be an African mother goddess. Her first novel, Efuru, mirrors the psychological and spiritual itineraries of the heroine of the eponymous title, from her initial call to adventure to her apotheosis as a human goddess fit to be an African mother goddess. Her first novel, Efuru, mirrors the psychological and spiritual itineraries of the heroine of the eponymous title, from her initial call to adventure to her apotheosis as a human goddess fit to be an African mother goddess.

Walker chastises white women’s biased mode of thinking in Gardens, saying: “It is apparently, inconvenient, if not downright mind-constraining for white women to think of Black women as women, perhaps because ‘woman’ (like ‘man’) among white males) is a name they are claiming for themselves alone. Racism decrees that if they are now women … then Black women must, perforce, be something else”. Walker’s statement above, led Barbara Smith to see Womanism as criticism of white females who think that they alone are women. African American Barbara Smith says “Womanism makes a connection between race, gender, and culture. It is also Black women’s ability to function with dignity, independence and imagination in the face of total adversity…” as noted by Turyline Jitia Allah in her illustrious work, Womanist and Feminist Aesthetics: A Comparative View. xvi African American Patricia Hill Collins, for her part, sees a humanist vision in Womanism: “a commitment to the survival and wholeness of entire people, male and female”.

A decade later, in 1993, Clenora Hudson-Weems extends the definition of womanism in her treatise. xviii First, she deduces that one does not become a feminist, just because one is concerned with women’s issues, but it is necessary to be concerned with black women in particular. Second, she argues that one must be concerned with the entire community. Third, she acknowledges the particular contribution of African feminism.

African feminists have always named themselves, and adding that African women have never been voiceless as one is wont to hear. Chikwenye Okonjo-Ogunyemi’s essay, “Womanism: The Dynamics of the Contemporary Black Female Novel in English”, although drawn from readings of African fiction, still remains mainly an interpretation of fiction that does not always bear out the actual reality on the ground. Indeed, she somewhat celebrates the black world of Alice Walker, in which womanism is about the celebration of “black roots, ideals of black life, while giving a balanced presentation of black womanism.” Not surprisingly, a decade later Okonjo-Ogunyemi spent a period of time seeking, leading her to a change of mind and newer ideas on the African, rather than the black, world. That was when her community became African, no longer ‘black’ and Womanism sought to “to establish healthy relationships among people, despite ethnic, geographical, educational, gender, ethical, class, religious, military and political differences”. xxii African men and women, Okonjo-Ogunyemi argues, should embrace the four Cs of consensus, conciliation, collaboration and complementarity.

Mary Modupe Kola’s work builds on Ogunyemi’s work, insists that African women have always named themselves, and adding that African women have never been voiceless as one is wont to believe. Womanism for her becomes, therefore, an attempt by African women to define their own reality and embodies the “totality of feminine self-expression, self-retention, and self-assertion in positive cultural ways”.

When Omolara Ogundipe-Leslie attempted to define feminism, she formed a different term, “Sitwamism”, an acronym of Social Transformation Including Women in Africa. Ogundipe hopes that Sitwamism would help the indigenous theorist get to the roots of women’s problems in Africa. Ogundipe-Leslie talks about six ‘mountains’ on the backs of women, women oppressed daily, and women owned as property, women worked like horses, mules and donkeys without gratitude or adequate pay, if even they are sometimes paid. According to Ogundipe-Leslie, ‘Sitwa’ proposes to discuss her agenda for the African feminist. She continues: ‘Sitwa, the Afrika-proverb of Sitwa, is about inclusiveness. Sitwa is about oneness. Sitwa is about universalism. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa’.

Hence, Acholonu, like all the other indigenous feminists after her, would advocate a feminist vision that radically separates the ‘west’ from the ‘rest of us’, theories that would conneunce the peculiar situation of Africa in the Third World and with that the experiences of the women vis-à-vis their western counterparts of the First World. That is about inclusion of African women in the contemporary social and political transformation of Africa. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa. xxiv

Catherine Acholonu professed ‘motherism’ as an ideal of African feminism, basing her theory on the well-known fact that Africa is the mother rock of Gondwanaland before a cataclysm broke it up, causing the existence of the continents of the world as they are known today. Furthermore, Acholonu sees motherism as a true alternative to feminism, adding that it neatly sets African feminism apart from global feminism:

"African feminist theory (…) must be anchored on the matrix of motherhood which is central to African metaphysics and has been the basis of the survival and unity of the black race through the ages. Whatever Africa’s role may be in the global perspective, it could never be divorced from her quintessential position as the Mother Continent of humanity. Africa’s alternative to western feminism is MOTHERISM and Motherism denotes motherhood. xxv

When Omolara Ogundipe-Leslie attempted to define feminism, she formed a different term, “Sitwamism”, an acronym of Social Transformation Including Women in Africa. Ogundipe hopes that Sitwamism would help the indigenous theorist get to the roots of women’s problems in Africa. Ogundipe-Leslie talks about six ‘mountains’ on the backs of women, women oppressed daily, and women owned as property, women worked like horses, mules and donkeys without gratitude or adequate pay, if even they are sometimes paid. According to Ogundipe-Leslie, ‘Sitwa’ proposes to discuss her agenda for the African feminist. She continues: ‘Sitwa, the Afrika-proverb of Sitwa, is about inclusiveness. Sitwa is about oneness. Sitwa is about universalism. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa. xxiv

When Omolara Ogundipe-Leslie attempted to define feminism, she formed a different term, “Sitwamism”, an acronym of Social Transformation Including Women in Africa. Ogundipe hopes that Sitwamism would help the indigenous theorist get to the roots of women’s problems in Africa. Ogundipe-Leslie talks about six ‘mountains’ on the backs of women, women oppressed daily, and women owned as property, women worked like horses, mules and donkeys without gratitude or adequate pay, if even they are sometimes paid. According to Ogundipe-Leslie, ‘Sitwa’ proposes to discuss her agenda for the African feminist. She continues: ‘Sitwa, the Afrika-proverb of Sitwa, is about inclusiveness. Sitwa is about oneness. Sitwa is about universalism. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa. xxiv

When Omolara Ogundipe-Leslie attempted to define feminism, she formed a different term, “Sitwamism”, an acronym of Social Transformation Including Women in Africa. Ogundipe hopes that Sitwamism would help the indigenous theorist get to the roots of women’s problems in Africa. Ogundipe-Leslie talks about six ‘mountains’ on the backs of women, women oppressed daily, and women owned as property, women worked like horses, mules and donkeys without gratitude or adequate pay, if even they are sometimes paid. According to Ogundipe-Leslie, ‘Sitwa’ proposes to discuss her agenda for the African feminist. She continues: ‘Sitwa, the Afrika-proverb of Sitwa, is about inclusiveness. Sitwa is about oneness. Sitwa is about universalism. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa. xxiv

Catherine Acholonu professed ‘motherism’ as an ideal of African feminism, basing her theory on the well-known fact that Africa is the mother rock of Gondwanaland before a cataclysm broke it up, causing the existence of the continents of the world as they are known today. Furthermore, Acholonu sees motherism as a true alternative to feminism, adding that it neatly sets African feminism apart from global feminism: Africentric feminist theory (…) must be anchored on the matrix of motherhood which is central to African metaphysics and has been the basis of the survival and unity of the black race through the ages. Whatever Africa’s role may be in the global perspective, it could never be divorced from her quintessential position as the Mother Continent of humanity. Africa’s alternative to western feminism is MOTHERISM and Motherism denotes motherhood. xxv

Hence, Acholonu, like all the other indigenous feminists after her, would advocate a feminist vision that radically separates the ‘west’ from the ‘rest of us’, theories that would conneunce the peculiar situation of Africa in the Third World and with that the experiences of the women vis-à-vis their western counterparts of the First World. That is about inclusion of African women in the contemporary social and political transformation of Africa. (…) Women have to participate as co-partners in social transformation. I think that feminism is the business of both men and women anywhere and in Africa. xxiv

Catherine Acholonu professed ‘motherism’ as an ideal of African feminism, basing her theory on the well-known fact that Africa is the mother rock of Gondwanaland before a cataclysm broke it up, causing the existence of the continents of the world as they are known today. Furthermore, Acholonu sees motherism as a true alternative to feminism, adding that it neatly sets African feminism apart from global feminism: Africentric feminist theory (…) must be anchored on the matrix of motherhood which is central to African metaphysics and has been the basis of the survival and unity of the black race through the ages. Whatever Africa’s role may be in the global perspective, it could never be divorced from her quintessential position as the Mother Continent of humanity. Africa’s alternative to western feminism is MOTHERISM and Motherism denotes motherhood. xxv

Catherine Acholonu professed ‘motherism’ as an ideal of African feminism, basing her theory on the well-known fact that Africa is the mother rock of Gondwanaland before a cataclysm broke it up, causing the existence of the continents of the world as they are known today. Furthermore, Acholonu sees motherism as a true alternative to feminism, adding that it neatly sets African feminism apart from global feminism: Africentric feminist theory (…) must be anchored on the matrix of motherhood which is central to African metaphysics and has been the basis of the survival and unity of the black race through the ages. Whatever Africa’s role may be in the global perspective, it could never be divorced from her quintessential position as the Mother Continent of humanity. Africa’s alternative to western feminism is MOTHERISM and Motherism denotes motherhood. xxv
Acholonu’s theory is not inclusive, and appears to see the world from a man’s lens. For these reasons, it is not a theory that would very likely promote gender equality and shared power relations between males and females in Igboland. Obioma Nnaemeka in her monumental work explains this debate on theorizing:

The majority of African women are not hung up on articulating their feminism, they just do it. In my view, it is what they do and how they do it that provide the ‘framework’; the ‘framework’ is not carried to the theater of action as a definitional tool. It is the dynamism of the theater of action with its shifting patterns that makes the feminist spirt/engagement effervescence and exciting but also intractable and difficult to name. xxvi Modupe Kolawole, for her part, notes lucidly that “(...) many African languages have no synonym for feminism as it is defined in the West, the concept of group action by women, based on common welfare in social, cultural, economic, religious, and political matters is indigenous and familiar to a majority of these women” - xxvii That is to say that the women’s experiences show them as constantly struggling with issues in their lives as feminists would want them to do, without really naming what they do. It is a question of survival, through negotiation and dialogue with men and social systems and structures too rigid to move. In that kind of situation, where survival is the key word, women only get by with what they can, for there is no structure in place to help them go beyond mere survival.

Needed is a new theory to help women go beyond mere survival alone, to taking charge of their lives from a position of strength, which alone will change them from women to women and children. In sum, Jacobs put it well and succinctly when she summarized the concerns of African women in this way:

African women, particularly black women, are concerned with issues as basic as access to clean water and housing, issues that have generally not been the focus of theoretical feminist inquiry. Faced with all manner of crises, women in Africa have begun organizing their responses to concerns that are relevant for Africans, including extreme poverty. Women in Africa are fighting for access to land, the right to own property, control of food distribution, living wages and safe working conditions, improved education and healthcare, and a more active role in the political process. With their collective responses to these economic, social, and political issues, ordinary community women also are quietly challenging existing conditions of gender inequality. This process is an important finding of a uniquely African feminism, which involves not only the academic effort to reconcile the plurality of feminist theories but also the survival imperative to seek creative solutions to the problem. The image of a woman as a crawling snail, bending, bowing, cajoling, conceding, and negotiating her survival, her destiny, with sharp thorns that symbolize the men, is not an acceptable strategy of dialogue from a position of strength. For how long can the development of the Igbo motherland put its destiny on hold, put up with this style of living that subjugates 51% of a section of its citizenry? It is almost unbelievable the contradiction between the theories of small sense feminism and its author’s explanation of how she came about the idea for the theory in the first place. If most Nigerian cultures continue to denigrate women, despite their submissiveness, effacement and negotiation with all and sundry, it is a clarion call to try a different strategy. Why would one continue to employ a measure that does not work ad infinitum? Eziegho thinks that a woman who is swept behind constantly should continue to rally with her oppressors for ‘survival’. Eziegho could not have been farther from the right path, therefore, when she says:

A woman cannot but behave like a snail in our patriarchal society. Most Nigerian cultures devalue women, consider them fickle and unruly. (...) the Igbo have a plethora of proverbs that denigrate women. Here are just two such proverbs: “ajo naa na-ara aha mee ya – meaning “A bad child that answers his/her mother’s name” (Yakubu, 10) and “Nnawye lela ya di, oke akpo ya naka” meaning “A woman who disagrees with her husband becomes wretched or desolate” (Eziegho, Gender Issues in Nigeria 28). What does a woman do when she knows she is not to be trusted and she is not as highly valued as her male counterpart? In such cultures as these, the woman must learn survival strategies to be able to overcome the impediments placed before her and live a good life. She has to be proactive and strong. (xxxii)

Eziegho’s rejoinder at Marquette University, while entirely another story to be treated as a pact for negotiation and survival, during question and comments time following my maiden presentation of di-feminist theory at the 13th annual Igbo Studies Association conference, Milwaukee, Wisconsin in the United States of America in 2014. She erroneously misrepresented ‘akataka’ (energy), the framework that carried my di-feminist theory, as ‘katakata’ (violence, chaos, riot, trouble). Indeed, not only is it a misrepresentation to think that a woman who seeks to negotiate from a position of strength, who therefore functions di-feminist, is seeking to break ties with men, is a man-hater–far from that- it also is unreasonable and unacceptable to urge women in this postmodern era to continue to live their lives the way their mothers and grandmothers lived it, as Eziegho concludes her explanation with reference to her grandmother’s archaic era; Eziegho could not have done a worse damage to her daughter and granddaughters:

From my findings, one thing is clear: the strategy was applied by our foremothers in their interactions with the opposite sex. It goes forward. It does not confront objects, but negotiates its way past any obstacle (...) xxxi

But, that is just where the gender inequality problem lies. The image of a woman as a crawling snail, bending, bowing, cajoling, concealing, and negotiating her survival, her destiny, with sharp thorns that symbolize the men, is not an acceptable strategy of dialogue from a position of strength. For how long can the development of the Igbo motherland put its destiny on hold, put up with this style of living that subjugates 51% of a section of its citizenry? It is almost unbelievable the contradiction between the theories of small sense feminism and its author’s explanation of how she came about the idea for the theory in the first place.
she negotiates and renegotiates her way in her dealings with the men and the society at large.xxxiii

An indigenous feminism that avows rootedness in the unchanging past is certainly not viable for the changing present.

Unlike Ezeigbo, Onyeka Iwuchukwu’s ‘Focus Feminism’ directs its angst against the women, consumed with self-deprecation, in-fighting, conflicts and oppression, all of which bind them from ‘focusing’ on their common enemy, the men. What moral justification, Iwuchukwu implies, do women have to complain about men when they do not and are not capable of putting their house in order? xxxiv

It is from this point of view that Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie’s call for awareness in We Should All Be feminists takes its relevance. Take note that her inclusivism and awareness feminism argues for female awareness and empowerment, as well as change in the way the world sees issues of gender, justice and fairness, boy-children and girl-children, and the warped view of masculinity and femininity, all of which are at the root of most gender inequality and other social problems. Adichie would like all peoples, including men, to become feminists, saying: “We teach boys to be afraid of fear, of weakness, of vulnerability. We teach them to mask their true selves, because they have to be, in Nigerian-speak, a hard-man.” Adichie blames history for the social advantages of men over women, men’s tendency to erupt in violence to defend their manhood and frayed egos when they find that they are defeated. Women, on the contrary, suffer from lack of ambition, having become time conditioned to not do and dare, thanks to African patriarchy in collusion with western colonialism. To get anywhere, Adichie adds, women perfect the acts of seduction and telling lies in the name of pretense, as they play the male-game that allows them to see a little light of the sun from the shadows. Adichie blames society for putting men in a situation of perpetual threat from the women, thanks to exaggeration of biological differences, gender inequality and unequal power relations. Men’s invisibility also does not allow them to see the real problems of society which, paradoxically, allows them to say that is how things have always been and should continue to be. Hence, proclaims Adichie, men are part of the problem; men do not want to see change nor do they want to change themselves. xxxv

I am reminded that my mother could have been married to a man other than my father, a man that did not meet her standards, if she had just merely acquiesced to the wishes of her poor parents who wanted her to go and get married, so that they would have fewer mouths to feed. Three times, my mother fled from her ‘uru’, an Igbo custom that allowed her to go for a few days’ visit to the home of the prospective suitor to see if she could integrate into his family. Three times, my mother fled. In her days, she was seen as a ‘strong-headed woman’, the kind that would never have gotten married, because men would not have stood her. Never mind that she was not quite seventeen years of age. Already, she was getting too old to get married. That was how it was in that distant past, when young girls were given away into marriage to lessen the burden on the parents and family. They arrived at their new natal abode handicapped and were treated like pariahs, at best useful animals starving at their place that had come to deplete the resources of the new family. Such a thing as food, which should be a matter of course, took center stage, was a big deal. The sisters-in-law meted mayhem on the new competitor, a mere “Nwanyi a na anu anu” (a-girl-who-hard-come-to-be-married; the transmigration is mine), held to be nothing until she arrived. Perhaps she was really nobody, if her parents were poor. That was how Igbo women developed an attitude of ‘cooperation’, ‘conciliation’, ‘coping’, and ‘negotiation’ in order to be let survive in their new and conjugal home. Needless to say, the newly married woman lacked the ability to stand up for her woman’s rights, let alone her human rights. Luckily for my mother, she had an innate rebellious side quite uncommon in her days. She always knew who she was, was full of self-esteem and self-confidence, poor or not, educated the western way or not. She did eventually get married to a suitor, my father that pleased her.

All that has changed now and there needs to be a new way of looking at womanhood in Igboland. What is needed is a theoretical framework, to enable the collection of diverse and sporadic revolutionary streaks from Igbo women yearning to make their voices heard, which voices can only become a formidable bundle of energy that can help the education, development and transformation of Igbo land towards a more just, fairer and equal opportunity society for men and women. To fully understand the impact of the new infusion of energy from indigenous Igbo theorists into the gender ideology in Igbo cosmology, it is important to survey, not just the indigenous Igbo culture, but also the colonial intervention and its modifications of Igbo culture through its extensive legislative, executive, and military powers, added to the state of things in the post-independence era, an era that some would see as modern cum postmodern, an era of nationalism and western style politics. How does one put an apparatus in place that reflects the reality of the lives of citizens as they seek better social, political and economic structures that support nonviolence, gender equality, fairness and justice for all? Much as the Igbo believe ardently in the goddess Ani and her protective and nurturing capabilities, one needs a strong sense of spirituality to attain her powers of healing and provision. Then again, the age-old “Mother Africa” trope cannot work in this day and age, due to its impersonal nature. Nor can the feminisms of the black world, the Third World and the African diaspora work, due again to their distance away from the Igbo people and their realities here and now. These earlier indigenous African attempts at feminist theory fail the litmus test, due to their “... williness and readiness to negotiate with and around men in difficult circumstances (...), due to their ability to ‘negotiate’ their woman’s position, without any form of ego (no-ego)”, what Obioma Nnaemeka has called ‘nego-feminism.’ xxxvi

We posit that a serious-minded woman and her ‘Id’ wanting to negotiate with men would at least have some ‘Ego’ to be tempered by the ‘Superego’. To this end, recall that it is in the nature of the Id to crave creature comforts of food, shelter, pleasure and so on, and it will stop at nothing to demand these things. That is why the psyche needs the Ego, her rational and problem-solving part to control some of these impulses, through translating them into strategies of effective gratification that tame and discipline the cravings and
impulses. It searches for possible and acceptable sources of gratification that will also keep the Id out of trouble. Then, the Superego kicks in with its array of moral questions as it lays out socially appropriate and legitimate ways to fill the desires of the Id without getting in trouble with society. In addition to the three aspects of the psyche, the external world, the environment, is also worthy of consideration in all psychological studies of gender and gender identity.

Suffice it to say that the indigenous feminisms noted above might have served the purpose of gender redirection in Igboland, had they not seen Igbo women as bogged down by ‘survival’ needs alone; they have failed to take into account all the elements of the psyche plus the environment. As a result, they have not taken an appropriate proactive feminist attitude that would end gender inequality and male dominance. Because gender is a social construction, whose meaning, definition and scope continue to change throughout the days on one’s life, it is imperative that the social institutions of the environment be studied fully for a comprehensive understanding of the observable gender differences between males and females. How do individuals become gendered? The division of labor assigns gender roles to individuals and groups, through confounding sex with gender. An understanding of history and society is needed to explain how roles are assigned and gender identities constructed.

Some notable Nigerian male writers, imbued with false representations of manhood, have gone anti-female, and have disparaged the pioneer-African feminist theorists, thereby reinforcing the idea that women are less capable than men and that they are more than fifty percent of the population of the world? Clearly, a lot of accusations and opinions have been traded back and forth between men and women on the usefulness of feminism in Nigeria. We are wont to tow the middle path and conclude with the words of bell hooks on the need for “feminist masculinity”, which treasures and respects all men, women and children, even as it seeks changes that will uplift the situation particularly of women. Clearly, says bell hooks:

Feminist thinking teaches us all, especially, how to love justice and freedom in ways that foster and affirm life. Clearly we need new

Chinweizu’s intervention is poignant, because he does not mince words in stating that his strategy is masculinist; he sees the early indigenous African feminisms as “cries,” as “senseless cries at that, given that matriarchy, not patriarchy, reigns supreme, from time immemorial. Female power over men’s, says Chinweizu, is already evident in the fact that a woman is boss, mother, wife in any man’s life, at any given time, unless women and men fail to realize the situation. In terms of power, he continues, there are three types of women: the matriarchists, the tomboys, and the termagants. The first, the matriarchists are bored, for they realize their power, but must be contented to make men believe they are in power, and then they manufacture ruses and wiles to make men do their bidding. The second category of tomboys is those who missed their road to being men and who continue to wish they were men. They are frustrated at not being what they would have liked to be, men. The third category of termagants is that of show-offs, who want to flaunt their advantage and power, when they do not delight in diminishing men. Feminism, Chinweizu concludes, is a ruse, for women do not wish to give up the power they have traditionally, but only want to add to it. On the contrary, he continues, feminists want men to give up what they have and become pats in the hands of women. Gains for women, which win their support, are educational, political and economic opportunities, greater freedom in their conjugal homes, sexual freedom in and out of marriage and divorce when marriage does not work for them. He accuses women of wanting it all, taking it all from men and giving up nothing. Even more interesting is the second part of Chinweizu’s argument on masculinity. He divides males again into three types—Machos, Mushus, and Masculinists. The first category of machos englobes almost all men, who are conditioned to believe they are strong, but who in reality serve women, the custodians of power and control. The second category of mushus, alas, “are that breed of diffident men who have been bullied, guilt-tripped, ego-bashed and penis twisted into pram pushing, diaper changing, and breast envy”, what he calls the classic “mangina”. Then, in the third category are a few men, who see marriage as “nest slavery” and who seek to avoid it at all costs; they are devoted to their freedom and liberty. Hence, masculinists recognize that the world is matriarchal, not patriarchal, based on the fewer male spars that are fertilized in any given time period and era. So, there are always more women than men on earth. Chinweizu would rather, therefore, see all female power dismantled, so that women can do the kind of hard work and dangerous jobs that men do—firefighting, trench digging, fighting in battles and wars, and the list goes on. In conclusion, Chinweizu would like to see the ‘best’ of female males and the ‘best’ of male females work together, what he calls a merger of “tomboy feminism” (of women seeking equal opportunities with men, and with whom men can work) and “termagant feminism” (of man-hating, supremacist women) to wage a revolt against matriarchy, dismantle it, and so establish a better world of equal gender opportunities all around.

Clearly, a lot of accusations and opinions have been traded back and forth between men and women on the usefulness of feminism in Nigeria. We are wont to tow the middle path and conclude with the words of bell hooks on the need for “feminist masculinity”, which treasures and respects all men, women and children, even as it seeks changes that will uplift the situation particularly of women. Accordingly, says bell hooks:

Feminist thinking teaches us all, especially, how to love justice and freedom in ways that foster and affirm life. Clearly we need new
strategies, new theories, guides that will show us how to create a world where feminist masculinity thrives.

Talking about 'feminist masculinity', it boils down to, for example, an Igbo woman who has three girl-children being under pressure from herself, her husband and his extended family, to keep going with pregnancies until she makes the priced boy-child. She can take a stand to continue, or to marry another wife for her husband, for if she does not she might really go ahead and do it any way. She has another option, which is to call the bluff, reiterate the value of her girls, and damn the consequences. The first scenario is that of the traditional woman, shackled by bogs of tradition, customs and mores that hold her in bondage. The second scenario is postmodern, forward-looking and educated of a woman with self-esteem and self-confidence, and aware of her rights as a human being and a woman, what bell hooks would call 'a vision of feminist masculinity'. Feminist masculinity' promises to erase female dependence, submissiveness, weakness and subjugation, but on the other hand engenders strength, freedom to dare and to excel, and lack of fear to achieve and succeed. It also teaches men that their sense of identity does not need to come from domination of others, male or female, that their ego should not go bunkers with contemporary social ills, such as lack of employment, under employment, under payment for jobs done or the so-called 'desertion' of family homes for workplaces by large numbers of women and counting joining the work force.

That assertion reminds me again of an anecdote by a colleague in the audience at the 13th Igbo Studies Association annual meeting at Marquette University. A woman, a wife and a career woman earned enough money to buy herself a brand new Volkswagen car. Her husband took offence, and for the sake of peace and tranquility in her home she handed him the keys to her car and took over his jalopy 304. Eight years passed and the cars are getting old and troublesome. The career wife and mother, she buys herself another car, this time a 'v-hock', in the popular Nigerian parlance. The jealous and domineering husband comes again, swearing heaven and hell to swallow him, if anyone should ever find him in that car. After all, it was understood, his wife was his property and had no right to indulge herself unless he permitted it. His wife ordinarily would have seen doomsday coming, if her husband should refuse to take rides in her car. But, this time, her temerity had subsided; she had found her self-confidence, self-esteem and voice over the years. Does wise not get better with age? She was prepared for a showdown, if need be. It was not then that the bullying husband calmed down and asked to be given her keys to run some errands. She refused, reminding him that he had sworn he would die the day he drove in her new car, and adding that she did not want his curse to take effect, kill him and leave her prematurely a widow! She had rubbed in the lesson so well that thereafter she lived happily ever after with her husband and her new car. Clearly, the first time around, she played her traditional card and lost, but the second time, she dealt her postmodern card and won. Is there any doubt about which way postmodern women should go in dealing with sexism, gender inequality and male domination? It is our belief that di-
feminism can unequivocally put the Igbo female back on equal footing with the male, and thereby end all speculation as to who is using who to advance her or his group's lot when the other group has their eyes turned away.

PRECOLONIAL IGBOLAND SOCIETY FROM A GENDER PERSPECTIVE

What exactly was pre-colonial Igboland like for both men and women? What rules did they play and how did they contribute to the development of their communities with their dynamism, overture to new ideas and change, freedom, independence, and sense of democratic ideals and achievement? The dual-sex political system in pre-colonial Igboland allowed political autonomy and ability for men and women, such that each category had authority and power to run its affairs without hindrance from the other.

It was the contact with the British Empire, along with its Christian teachings of subordination of the female to the male, of woman to the man that bolstered female subjugation. Starting directly with the issues that led to the Aba Women’s war of 1929, xiii which disrupted the near-perfect gender dualism that existed in Igboland; the British colonial idea of womanhood was seriously challenged. Nonetheless, this war also had the benefit of showing that women of Igboland could turn into activists when the situation demanded that of them.

In Okonjo’s 1976 book, Dual-Sex Political System, there was His Sphere and Her Sphere and individuals could belong to their
association and earn titles of distinction. Women included, therefore, could earn titles and break the kolanut in their exclusive women's domain. In other words, women like men had influence, power and privilege. And both male and female longevity was celebrated with the honor accorded to the oldest of the clan from time to time. Women could sanction wrong doers with their moral authority, through fines, boycotts or ostracism, which strategy invariably brought the parties in conflict to the negotiation table. xliv

How did the women organize themselves to meet up with their responsibilities? They usually organized in groups of interest, as wives or co-wives married into the same clan or as daughters of the clan. Such an association of co-wives married into a clan, the ndi muwanyodi, gave women power of the group association. Such was also the case with the group of daughters of the clan, umuoke or umuada. xlv

In Amawhla, my natal village, for example, the umuada are a force to be reckoned with and they would flaunt it at funerals and social activities of all sorts. They punish a man whose evil deeds towards his wife or wives have marked him as ‘a dog and dung eater’. As the Igbo wise saying explains, although all dogs eat excreta, yet it is the one who has neglected to wipe its mouth clean after eating that is branded with the unflattering title of shit-eater. Such a vile man, therefore, who is brought to the attention of the umuada, could find himself ‘sat on’ (read wagged war against) for days on end, as we have seen above, until he promised to become less abusive and quit his dominating attitude and control. He was presented with a list of the grievances against him and the women encircled his abode ridiculing him, cajoling, singing, insulting and verbally abusing him until they extracted repentance from him. There are reports that sometimes the women assumed the mainy stance of tearing down the man’s hut. Raphael Njoku summarized this gender balance in Igbo culture when he wrote:

In the precolonial era, this group acted as the police force against the patrilineal wives, as well as ritual and purification specialists, and family psychotherapists. They met regularly, rotating their assembly between their natal and married villages. The umuada also played important roles in creating unifying influences, settling intralineage disputes and quarrels between natal and marital villages. When the need arose, the umuada also supervised various rites of passage, rituals and sacrifices on behalf of their communities. In some communities (…) it was the umuada leader, the Ada ebo, who performs the final ablution rites for new brides. xlvii

In effect, an aggrieved woman used her female power and privileges in the Igbo community to an extreme point when she would ‘starve’ her man of food, nourishment and sex. That was the ultimate weapon to resolve breaches of the dual-sex system that balanced out powers and privileges in the community. No matter how powerful a man was, he deferred to the group when necessary, for an individual could not be seen to be more powerful than the group, if we go by the story of Okonkwo and the goddess of the earth Ani in Chinua Achebe’s Things Fall Apart. Aghala was the lone voice that could call Okonkwo to order, no matter his high esteem in the community. For breaking the rules of the Peace Week by beating his wife, he was leveled with a stiff fine.

Sometimes, nwada is not married. This could be either because she chooses not to, is not lucky with suitors or is chosen by her father to remain in the household to shore it up, due to her distinction and sterling qualities. In effect, her father elevates her to the rank of a male. She then garners all the powers and privileges due to a male. She can inherit ancestral lands
and conduct her affairs as if she were a man, just as male daughters do in their father’s clan. She can take lovers or her father, if he were still alive, could send his intimate male friends to sleep with her when they visit the household. If she has children, they would vicariously become children of her father.

Now, the other category of females, married women in their households, can also assume the male gender as ‘female husbands’, when for any number of reasons they choose to marry other women. They become ‘husbands’ to the said married women. It could be a case of childlessness and anxiety about inheritance, lack of boy-child, concerns about family real estate inheritance, or simply an older woman who has come into means needing to marry a younger and less economically-endowed girl to help keep up with her household chores and business responsibilities. The female husband could designate her husband to sleep with the younger woman or allow her to choose her lovers. Her children would be children of the female husband, where she is merely the biological mother. These points were clearly enunciated by Flora Nwapa in *Efuru*, which was her counterbalance of Achebe’s *Things Fall Apart*, to show that women were not always weak and crying as portrayed by her countryman, Achebe.

In the novel, *Efuru*, Nwapa introduces the reader to the phenomenon of ‘female husbands’ and ‘male daughters’. Adultery, perhaps the singular ‘crime’ a woman could commit, is condensed in Nwapa, so long as it results in motherhood. Prostitution, where the woman employs money earned to such a useful end as paying school fees for her children, was not looked at as an abomination either. Clearly, polygyny was not a big issue of concern, for Igbo women accepted that somewhere along the line of their marriage they would have to deal with it. It was a way of growing the numbers and a large family of many kinds of human beings to play various roles. Moreover, it was as if men felt it was in their evolutionary nature to spread their seeds and have them multiply over and over again. To be denied the chance to propagate their genes in as many females as possible was the ultimate wrong that an Igbo man could endure. It was such that women willingly or unwillingly saw the rationale to do something to protect the man’s immortality—every time children were not coming into the household.

Needless to add that motherhood is very important, for Igbo women and men. In a pro-natalist society, it was a status through which children were born into the families and clans for generational continuity. A married woman felt doomed, if children were not present in a marriage, especially male children. Such a woman placed the value of her child over her husband, especially in polygynous homes in which competition for a social claim was high. It was through the child that a married woman could hope to inherit her husband and other goods accumulated during her marriage to him, should her husband pass before her.

A ‘good’ woman, then, was she who knew her husband’s yearnings and responded to them. Her husband could give her the praise name of ‘Ohi-di-ya’ (the woman-who-understands-her-husband-well). If she grows in her marriage to become not merely wife and mother of his children, but also partner and friend, she could earn herself the title of ‘Enyi-di-ya’. She could be surnamed ‘Odozi-aka’ or ‘Aka-di-ya’, if she excels in managing her husband’s business and affairs in general. Some wives have been called their husbands’ love ‘Okwu-di-ya’, if they became wives and loves of their men. In other words, a woman invariably carves out a name for herself in her marriage abode, depending on her accomplishments and how the husband’s lineage sees her. In general, Igbo women enjoy motherhood and look forward to it for fulfillment of their natural endowments.

Hence, Igbo maidens looked forward to their seclusion at puberty in the ‘iru-mgbede’ (what the early colonialists mistranslated as ‘fattening room’), where a rite of passage and initiation prepared them for their transition from girlhood to womanhood. The rite of passage lasted about four weeks of four market days each. Seclusion of girls in the same age grade sometimes lasted for longer periods of time, three,
and six or even twelve months, during which period the neophytes underwent a radical transformation. A maiden was pampered and given lessons on the meaning of sex as a way to pregnancy and motherhood. Other lessons covered wifecraft, mothercraft and communal living and responsibilities as a female. She was deemed thereafter ready to be a wife, mother and take her place as a socialized female in the community. By the same token, Igbo young maidens look forward to being ‘taken’, ‘chosen’ in marriage as the ultimate boon of their young adulthood. You do not want to be the ear of corn left standing on the corn stem after all the other ears have been plucked.

A marriage negotiation starts, first and foremost, with the young man and his people coming to the young maiden’s home to ‘knock on the door’ of her father’s house, by way of introduction. Second, he makes presents to the family, the girl and her mother. If his presents are accepted, it is a sign to go forward. Then, the young maiden is summoned by her father to be briefed about the visitors and the reason for their visit. Her consent is solicited, and if she gives the go ahead by accepting the offer of marriage, the negotiation goes to the next level. Third, the bride wealth will be paid and accepted, what is called ‘ime-ego’. The higher the perceived worth of the maiden, in terms of ability, industriousness, beauty and intelligence, the more expensive and higher the price. Observe in passing that some parents have ‘diplomatically’ reduced a suit, that they like or approve of by simply naming an exorbitant prizet that they knew would be near impossible for the young man to provide. Fourth, the proper betrothal takes place, after the maiden’s character, virtue and sociability have been checked out, tested and approved of by the extended family, given that the marriage is a family affair and not just between the two individuals. Fifth, during the ‘igba-nkwu’, the marriage, the young man and his family carry wine to the home of the would-be bride. At the end of a lavish feast and celebration, the young bride is released to begin her long journey of adventure as a wife and hopefully as a mother. Is she still a virgin at this time? It depends, for she may have earlier in the courtship gone to the suitor to test his manhood; no one wants to get an impotent man for husband. It is, therefore, not clear up to what point Igbo people expected a young girl to keep her virginity before marriage. There have been instances when a young man rejected a maiden, if on the marriage night he found out that she had already been with someone else. Igbo women look forward to a suit, like people from Nsukka, who expect the maiden to become pregnant before the final marriage rites are concluded. Hopefully, it would be her would-be husband’s child. But, in the eagerness to become pregnant, some maidens might throw morality abroad and get pregnant by whichever male was available to do the job. There are also Igbo societies where girls prematurely in the family way are quickly, almost clandestinely, married off to older men or poor men, before anyone noticed their protruding stomachs from pregnancy. Again, that would make all the difference between a ‘good’ girl and a ‘bad’ girl.

Nonetheless, Igbo women have a role and responsibility to contribute to the development of their nation, if only their energy, intuition and natural ability can be tapped into. Unfortunately, their cultural, spiritual, economic, social and political contributions in pre-colonial times are frequently forgotten and they are not given the chance to reassert the prowess they had exhibited once upon a time.

Gender in pre-colonial, indigenous Igbo culture is viewed as we have seen above, meaning that men and women alike saw their gender identity as flexible. Biology did not determine the entire being, nor did family upbringing. Men and women could cross gender boundaries without being stigmatized as sixises or tomboys. Clearly, men and women did gender as the occasion demanded. And gender performance continued throughout their earthly existence. As a result, some Igbo women could become ‘female husbands’, when a family compound needed children, male children, extra hands to do farm work or trade and the wife is not able, willing or too old to cope. Such a woman would receive support and would shift her gender and could go ahead and ‘marry’ another woman as ‘her’ wife, sometimes for her husband, or simply to be her extra hands and legs to help stabilize the family compound. In effect, the female husband controlled and managed the affairs of her compound, giving instructions to the new wife and her common husband. By the same token, a distressed woman could leave her husband’s house and return to her father’s compound. In her place of birth, she became a ‘male daughter’, a de facto ‘man’ and is viewed as such for all intents and purposes in the community. If she was never married, after a certain age, a woman could be consecrated to live out the rest of her life as a ‘male daughter’ in her father’s house. Any children she might bear would bear the name of her father, just like herself. Sometimes, a girl of value was chosen by the father to not get married, but live as a man in her father’s compound to help shore it up. In that particular case, the father would have seen the sterling qualities of the girl in question, including intelligence, ability to reflect, manage, di-oka, meaning ‘man’ and so on. The wives of the compound deferred to her. Those were instances of powerful agency by women, albeit in peculiar circumstances only as daughters or husbands. It is noteworthy that a daughter in one household could become a married woman in another household and vice-versa. But, why did the ancients privilege daughters and husbands over wives? Di-feminism promises to redress this error, and to empower and grant privileges to all women equally.

DI-FEMINISM: A TWELVE-POINT THEORETICAL APPROACH TO GENDER AND DEVELOPMENT IN IGBO LAND

Now, what is di-feminism all about? Firstly, di-feminism, a twelve-point theory for gender equality, is an alternative form of gender construction that ensures gender equality in opportunities in Igbo land. The prefix ‘di’—denotes a manner of sort as in di-mgba, meaning master, mistress, di-oka, meaning ‘man’ and so on. Di-feminism, therefore, is at once an blend of inclusivity, awareness and ‘open-eye’ that compulsorily demands to be seen and to be heard. It calls on Igbo women to take ownership of their being and claim their rightful place as women and as human beings beside the men. Men are also called upon to embrace di-feminism for the good of all genders in the society. Secondly, di-feminism borrows light from the ‘akataka’ in Igbo tradition, a genre of ‘mmanwu’ (mmonwu or nmo), a masquerade, at once in human and spirit forms, indeterminate and ambiguous, capable of seeing through the secular world and the supernatural or imaginary worlds. Akataka, therefore, crosses boundaries and genres, for it is at once nowhere and everywhere. In performance, as well, the akataka maintains control of its environment in the village ilor or square, for it is at once anywhere and anywhere. It is a title-taking with a base in economics, open to women, regardless of age:

There was a direct link between the accumulation of wives, the acquisition of wealth and the exercise of power and authority. The ultimate indication of wealth and power, the title system was open to men and women, as was the means of becoming rich through control over the labour of other by way of polygamy, whether man-to-woman marriage or woman-to-woman marriage.)

Amadiume goes on to say that title-taking is involuntary, adding that the “Ekwe” title phenomenon in Nnobi, for example, is associated with the Idemili river goddess. The woman does not choose the title; the title chooses her. The woman to be honored is chosen for her dint of hard work, discipline, good neighborliness and other sterling qualities admired in her community. Nonetheless, it is a title-taking with a base in economics, open to women, regardless of age:

People were quick to notice thrift, industriousness, a money-making ability and leadership qualities in a woman. They would begin to point out such a woman as a potential candidate for the Ekwe title. Even a young girl could be identified as a candidate and the community would be encouraged in her economic ventures. (…) a potential Ekwe
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Now, what is di-feminism all about? Firstly, di-feminism, a twelve-point theory for gender equality, is an alternative form of gender construction that ensures gender equality in opportunities in Igbo land. The prefix ‘di’—denotes a manner of sort as in di-mgba, meaning master, mistress, di-oka, meaning ‘man’ and so on. Di-feminism, therefore, is at once an blend of inclusivity, awareness and ‘open-eye’ that compulsorily demands to be seen and to be heard. It calls on Igbo women to take ownership of their being and claim their rightful place as women and as human beings beside the men. Men are also called upon to embrace di-feminism for the good of all genders in the society. Secondly, di-feminism borrows light from the ‘akataka’ in Igbo tradition, a genre of ‘mmanwu’ (mmonwu or nmo), a masquerade, at once in human and spirit forms, indeterminate and ambiguous, capable of seeing through the secular world and the supernatural or imaginary worlds. Akataka, therefore, crosses boundaries and genres, for it is at once nowhere and everywhere. In performance, as well, the akataka maintains control of its environment in the village ilor or square, for as soon as it appears everyone takes to their heels to safer spaces. To watch the akataka appropriately, therefore, you need to move as it moves, and it is constantly moving, thanks to its drive, great store of energy and strength. As Oluoma Nnorea Nwabueze opines, when she suggests that future indigenous feminist theorists embrace the image of the akataka:

The Akataka, with its energy and agility, is the most disruptive, “fragmenting” and

subversive of masquerades. In its conceptualization, construction, inner/outer workings, and appearance on the scene the akataka “deconstructs” and decenders everything, sending subjectivness, multivocativity and representation flying in all possible directions. The Igbo say “aduro alowo oje ebe enene mmamwe’one cannot stand at a spot to watch a masquerade”— a proverb that raises profoundly the issues of perspective and subjectivity.slix

Hence, di-feminism is deconstructive; it erases gender differences and gender inequality, even as it brokers equal gender power relations. From an alternative and different perspective, it does not give women and men the opportunity to be invisible.

Thirdly, with di-feminism women’s issues and access to wealth, titles and power come to the forefront, showing how gender impacts individual identities and how the individual interacts with other individuals in the political environments of the family, clan, village, town, and beyond. Di-feminism also borrows from Ifi Amadiume’s monumental work on gender and sex, Male Daughters, Female Husbands: Gender and Sex in an African Society, in which following the fluid gender system of her indigenous Nnobi society, she asserts that:

There was a direct link between the accumulation of wives, the acquisition of wealth and the exercise of power and authority. The ultimate indication of wealth and power, the title system was open to men and women, as was the means of becoming rich through control over the labour of other by way of polygamy, whether man-to-woman marriage or woman-to-woman marriage.)

Amadiume goes on to say that title-taking is involuntary, adding that the “Ekwe” title phenomenon in Nnobi, for example, is associated with the Idemili river goddess. The woman does not choose the title; the title chooses her. The woman to be honored is chosen for her dint of hard work, discipline, good neighborliness and other sterling qualities admired in her community. Nonetheless, it is a title-taking with a base in economics, open to women, regardless of age:

People were quick to notice thrift, industriousness, a money-making ability and leadership qualities in a woman. They would begin to point out such a woman as a potential candidate for the Ekwe title. Even a young girl could be identified as a candidate and the community would be encouraged in her economic ventures. (…) a potential Ekwe
candidate had to have the material or practical support of her co-wives at all levels of social organization, from the extended family to the major patrilineage. ii

After the ceremony of coronation, an Ekwe chief is given a name, perhaps one chosen by herself, which describes her chieftancy and the circumstances of her choosing by the Iddemili or an Ekwe. What we take from Amadiume’s story is that women have not always been powerless but have men always been oblivious of the ability of women or failed to acknowledge female strength in all fields of endeavor.

Thanks to the flexibility of gender construction in Igboland, gender keeps evolving throughout the days of individual lives. Moreover, the genders can possibly switch because they are not invariably tied to sexual identity. Amadiumi explains in her own words the phenomenon of female husbands and male daughters in Igboland. iii

(....) A flexible gender system affected women’s access to economic resources and positions of authority and power through the institutions of ‘male daughters’ and ‘female husbands’. This flexible gender system resulted not only in role ambiguity, but also in status ambiguity. In the political system there was flexibility in gender classification which allowed the incorporation of certain categories of woman into the male category, giving them positions of authority in the society, for example, women who were regarded as males in relation to wives. Consequently, sex, in this context, did not correspond to gender. iv

Indeed whether as umu-okpu (daughters of the original obi or ancestral home), umu-di-anu (children of the daughters of the patrilineal lineage), or umu-ada (daughters of the lineage) daughters wielded a lot of power, for had they been men they would have had the same level of authority and power as the men of the lineage, the umu-ada (sons of the line). Therefore, there were ‘his spheres’ as opposed to ‘her spheres’, yet the gender fluidity did many times than not lead to gender symmetry and convergence. The dual sex boundaries are mediated, seen that some categories of women can function as males in a society where females are subordinate to males.

Even under the British subjugation of Igboland during colonialism, there is the very interesting case of female masculinity of the Ahebi Ugbabe who would be the only such female King in Igboland during colonialism, there is the very interesting case of female masculinity of the Ahebi Ugbabe who would be the only such female King in Ugboland. According to Nwando Achebe, liii she would be the only such female King in Igboland during colonialism. Furthermore, this woman was also remarkable in her ability to face any challenges. She was a very powerful woman who could even render a king powerless.
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Even under the British subjugation of Igboland during colonialism, there is the very interesting case of female masculinity of the Ahebi Ugbabe who would be the only such female King in Ugboland. According to Nwando Achebe, liii she would be the only such female King in Igboland during colonialism.

The akata perspective of gender relations empowers women to relate with men from a position of strength, not from that of weakness. Women go for equality with men, rather than merely hope for survival. Hi Amadiumi has seen the rational for fluidity of gender in the Igbo language in the use of genderless and or feminine terms that stand for the English ‘him’ or ‘her’ without distinction. There is third person ‘it’, which applies to male and female alike. The third person singular of the possessive pronoun ‘it’ stands for both his and hers; thus there is no reminder in speech to distinguish between the sexes. iv

This distinction in the language, as far as gender is concerned, leads us to explore the gender roles that males and females are assigned, especially females as male daughters or as female husbands, the two occasions in the Igbo language in which women are heads of families. Why can we not generalize this pattern, that at any time and any place, any Igbo woman can be in leadership by dint of qualification to be so, just like men? Amadiumi explains again:

The Igbo word for family head is the genderless expression di-bu-uno. The genderless di is a prefix word which means specialist in, or expert at, or master of something. Therefore, di-bu-uno means one in a writer of a husband, a family and household, and a person, woman or man, in this position is simply referred to as di-bu-uno. In indigenous Nnobi society and culture, there was one head or master of a family at a time, and ‘male daughters’ and ‘female husbands’ were called by the same term, which translated into English would be ‘master’. Some women were therefore masters to other people, both men and women. ili

It is important to be noted that wives were not accorded the same status as daughters of the lineage wielded. But, why we ask? After all, the wives are themselves daughters of the lineage in their own father’s houses and natal villages. This shows the outright ambiguity of these practices and the need for reform.

Fourthly, Igbo women need energy and goodness to function fully and with fulfillment. As di-feminists, they will not find it odd to sometimes match in front of the men, if they happen to be the better ones, the more intelligent ones to do so.

Fifthly, di-feminism empowers women to go for what they need or want, get it and keep it. With that attitude, men would give way to women, when necessary for the overall good of everyone, and if need be, seeing no longer any obstacles or barriers in the path of women towards freedom and self-fulfillment.

Sixthly, di-feminism brings to the forefront women’s issues, such as access to wealth, titles and power to match those of men, who have undue advantage thanks to patriarchy and colonization. It examines how gender impacts identity, that is, who the individual becomes and how she or he perceives herself, and explores how the individual interacts with other individuals or groups (masculinity and femininity) in the institutional environments (family, clan, village, town, school, church, and beyond).

Seventhly, di-feminism empowers the Igbo man, to stand beside the female and not develop undue fragile ego. Eighthly, di-feminism sees the girl-child as equal to the boy-child in value, and so no longer sees as tenable the infamous Igbo dictum, “O meee ni k a mma swan”, (he pretends not to hear you, like one who has given birth to a baby girl) when a man whose wife has put to bed tactfully pretends not to hear her, in effect shouting to her, out of shame to divulge that his wife has only a baby girl, upon being asked what the sex of his new born child is.

Ninthly, di-feminism valorizes female intuitionism for social development. Women have this inner power that men do not often have to tap into unquantifiable sources of knowledge that are akin to scientific knowledge. It is common knowledge that no Igbo man worth his salt would prepare for a big event without first whispering it to his wife in bed at the dead of the night, in order to elicit her wisdom for guidance.

Tenthly, di-feminism revolutionizes how men and women see women, i.e. that gender inequality is the reason for gender differences and male domination not the other way round.

Eleventh, di-feminism refuses the current media view on gender issues, to the disadvantage of women.

Twelfth, di-feminism rejects compulsory patrilinearity, which is at the root of women’s disinheritance and devaluation of girl-children. It upholds the notion that equality of opportunities in Igboland will lead to social, political, cultural, and economic development. Install gender equality and banish gender differences and unequal power relations.

Di-feminism, which is in the domain of poststructuralism and postmodernism seeks to decenter and deconstruct such mind-sets. We want di-feminism to be about newness. The Igbo feminist is engaged at once with the unchanging cultural assumptions about women in the community and society and showing off self as strong, responsible, courageous and audacious. That way, she shall not merely challenge Igbo men’s binary views of men and women, but also in addition the views held in general about them. By seeking to go above biological determinism and social notions of womanhood and manhood, therefore, our goal is to put the Igbo female on equal footing with the male as opportunities go. Obviously, men and women cannot be ever equal, if one is thinking about physical strength. Furthermore, this is not from advocating a culture of strong females, where the males will be weak. On the contrary, it will be recognizing that a human being can play many roles in ever evolving spectrum of genders, well beyond what society currently assigns to males and females. That, coincidentally, was what precolonial Igboland knew very well and abided by in the large part, but which was lost in the course of colonial history.

Di-feminism, proposes a new theory, with possibilities of engendering equality of opportunities between males and females. Hence this essay searches for a feminism that is no longer a mere reaction to the unacceptable situation of women. It does not condone the regular litany about women as weak, dependent and incapable, and rejects stereotyping of women as inferior, quiet, gullible, dependent, vulnerable, baby makers and raisers. It is inclusive of men and women seeking change for the good of all. It is neither anti-male, nor does it hate men, for it speaks out on the subjugated, downtrodden, and for social justice for all, be they male or female, not from an angry standpoint, but because this does not see men as the problem. On the contrary, the problem is sexism and the patriarchal system that teaches men and women from the early developmental stages of childhood that gender
differences are necessarily signs of inferiority and superiority, depending on which one is talking about. The patriarchal system teaches that female is weak and inferior and male is strong and superior. It also teaches men to dominate and women to be subservient and submissive. Women, therefore, collude with the men in maintaining and condoning the status quo. It is important to note, therefore, that women, perhaps inadvertently, foster sexism and the rule by men for men and by men. All that men need to do as ‘partners’ to women is to cease to be invisible, but rather recognize the need for attitude change and the fact that patriarchy hurts men as well. Patriarchy imposes extreme, even overwhelming, masculinity on men, stripping them of their rights to grieve, mourn, cry and be weak from time to time as the situation demands. Chinua Achebe inadvertently made this point eloquently about the Igbo in the character of the protagonist of Things Fall Apart who, in spite of himself dealt the last and deadly blow to Ikemefuna, his beloved ward, when it came to sacrificing him, so that no one would ever say that he, Okonkwo, was afraid to shed blood.

CONCLUSION

This article has examined strategies that could end sexism and male domination and contribute to the (re)development of Igboland, through equality in gender opportunities. Through enhancing the situation of women, who were once upon a time on the same pedestal as men, but who over time, thanks to history, lost their rightful place beside the men, the Igbo nation only stands to gain. There are individual and group efforts by women that show that gender inequality is not inevitable. What di-feminism offers is a structure, a framework that would be useful in harnessing these individual radicalisms and women’s strength. We believe that this new indigenous feminist theory could make and/or transform these energies into a system to serve all women and men in Igboland.

Some Practical Considerations

So much has been said on and around the topic of gender and equality of opportunities and development in Igboland that it behooves us to give some practical guidance or recommendations as to how Igbo men and women should go about realizing the desired ends.

a) Clearly, the concerns and interests of women are different from those of men. Hence, it is important that the dual gender or sex be recognized in the political administration of Igboland at all levels, and this all the way from the family to governorship of states, while passing through the local government level.

b) The said dual-gender or dual-sex system should institute women’s title taking just like men do, all the way through the four levels of title-taking to the highest title. Women need not take the very same titles as men; they can name their own titles differently.

c) Women should be able to fill seats for women, just as there are seats that men do take in local government administrations.

d) Families should begin to count women in when sharing family property. Daughters should be able to inherit from fathers and mothers at the same level as sons do.

e) Women should go to court to defend their rights. Any infractions, injustices or unfairness should be litigated.

f) Women, who know, such as teachers, lawyers, doctors, writers and so on, should undertake activism to bring awareness to those who do not know. Indeed men and women should be involved in the exercise of educating the populace.
g) Attention should be drawn to the citizens’ fundamental rights in the nation’s Constitution, which Constitution supersedes the dictates of all other legal institutions of the land, including customary courts, magistrates courts and the Supreme Court.
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Merry Christmas!
I have listened to and watched with keen interest discussions and activities in Nigeria for years; I followed up the recently concluded National Economic Summit. I have been bothered by Nigerian affairs and have done a lot of introspection as regards the countries past, present and future. My experience and observation make me think, like have done many Nigerians, that Nigeria is richly blessed with human and natural resources; with the best minds, brains and hearts black Africa would ever have. I also think that Nigeria stands out among all black nations and can confidently be referred to as the hope of Africa. I have also noted issues that have been raised in the past and present as Nigeria’s pressing needs and areas of attention, ranging from political instability, social unrest, sectional uprising, religious/terrorist insurgency to corruption; Chuma Achebe had brought together the whole summation of the Nigerian problem under the title of leadership; an opinion that many Nigerians bought into. In as much as these issues are tangible, they seem to have blocked the view of Nigerians from the most fundamental question that needed to be asked; the point of departure of a people and nation. It was Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu who had identified this fundamental question; who had asked that question and received the most brutal reply history has ever witnessed in Africa. That question is: “Who is a Nigerian?” Simple as it may seem, that question contains the reason why the fundamentals of the Nigerian nation is wrong. When Ojukwu received the answer to this question through the observation of unimagined inhuman and bestial treatment meted out to fellow ‘Nigerians’, he dared Nigeria to a combat to affirm or deny being Nigerian. That move fetched him and the people of his race thirty months of strife, incarceration and genocide; in addition to the stigma of rebellion and defeat which even his death could not redeem. Emerging from that horrific experience, he gave an existential answer to that troubling question: “Nigeria is an amorphous group of individuals being pretending to be a nation”. Forty-eight years after Ojukwu had posed this question, neither has there been offered a working/workable answer to it nor has the reality dawned on this ‘amorphous group of individuals’ to address this fundamental question.

In her 2009 Tedtalks speech – “The Danger of a Single Story”- Chimamanda Adichie alluded to the fact that she never identified as Africa but was cajoled into that consciousness studying in America. She and the rest of us may realize that we never and do not identify as Nigerians, at least by reflex; that consciousness at best appears and disappears. Every ‘Nigerian’ easily gives himself/herself or is given away as ‘Onye Igbo’, ‘Omo Yoruba’, ‘Dan Hausawa’ or the likes. In other words, the average ‘Nigerian’ lives a tribal consciousness; national consciousness is a matter for conferences and intellectual activities. The interesting part of all is that this stubborn fact is flatly denied in all national engagements. Why will an Igbo man(339,842),(458,998) or woman be born, live and die in Lagos and identified as Anambraian or Imolite but the day a child is born in America, he/she becomes American for all it is worth? Why will national character mean a process of denying merit to an individual because there are other tribes that have not partaken of what he/she merited and who needed to be awarded that for the mere fact of being from that tribe? Why are regions of the country identified by tribes? There are very many questions like that and they can only point to the supremacy of the tribe over above the nation.

Nevertheless, it is not as if The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria is not clear on this matter. The constitution has proved to be the most delusional effigy of our national preterm. Undeniably, Nigeria is a piece of British art crafted for British entertainment and enterprise; Nigerians are people who wittingly or unwittingly struggle to play a role in that art which is a melodrama or who vie for a rented space in the market place of that British enterprise. Like the rest of Africa, Nigerians easily key-in to global issues – green house emission, environmental degradation, economic downturn, insurgency and so forth; downloading them and filling ourselves with their frenzy; leaving the fundamental question unattempted.

The current APC (All Progressives Congress) government came into power with this agenda of fighting corruption which majority of Nigerians connected to. They have promised a process of fighting corruption, regenerating and reforming the Nigerian state. The government has promised a process of fighting corruption, regenerating and reforming the Nigerian state most times in the conviction that Nigeria had always cared for their regional needs. An intent ear to the body language and spoken words of leaders and stakeholders of North-Eastern and entire Northern Nigeria bespeaks even Boko Haram as resulting from the neglect of the welfare of North-Eastern Nigeria by Nigeria and Nigerians for a very long time. The monstrous bedevilling Boko-Haram Nigeria resides in the process, system and institutions that brought him to power; in the operations of that nation whose very foundation is built on corruption and deceit. Going back to the basics will generate a people and nation who, agreeing together, will formulate a workable, credible and formidable social contract.

Li Kuan Yew, in rebuilding Singapore, priced the reconstruction of the mind and consciousness over above mega structures and cities. We may fight corruption, achieve constant and adequate supply of electricity, good roads and efficient transport system, nuclear power and even plant Nigeria’s flag on the moon but not before we fight the British monster called Nigeria which has menaced Nigerians; renewing and reforming it into a beloved fatherland that Nigerians can believe in, trust and be proud of.
Nri civilization is a super civilization; exceptionally distinguished, systematically operated with time-honored practice for over a millennia. Nri civilization surpasses the definition stated in the dictionary and by extension demolishes the speculation of coming from somewhere.

For centuries, since the 1420s, many attempts were made by several European nations to touch down on Nri, for the purpose of forming political bloc. Nri was first known to the Europeans in their legend as “the kingdom of Prester John, an immensely powerful Christian kingdom in Africa”. (Stride G.T. and Ifeka, C. People And Empires of West Africa 1977, Pg. 176). For approximately 500 years several European countries made frantic effort to discover Nri. In 1906, Rev. Fathers Vogler and Duhaze met with the Eze Nri Obalike, the then Eze Nri that passed on in the year 1926, and his cabinet. Rev. Fathers Vogler and Duhaze were convinced that Nri was more Christian than they had thought, and judging from what they had heard and seen, in Nri, God the creator (Chukwu Okike) is above all gods. This justified their assumption that Nri was civilized and God fearing people without rivals in the southern Sahara and indeed West Africa. The presence of orthodox churches from 1900s and the recent heavy presence of Pentecostal churches in Nri with the attendant loud preaching, second collection (offering) syndrome and prosperous prophesy services are true indications that there is little love lost between Nri civilization and the churches.

In a crisis of confidence and culture shock, those who had internalized their experience with foreign religion began to tongue lash and blackmail Nri civilization and culture. In 1911, as if the blackmail was not enough, they formed unholy alliance with the colonial masters, dragged the Eze Nri Obalike to the old Awka District Headquarters in an attempt to force him to abolish Nri spiritual ties with other Igbo settlements (see, Owuareogwu, M.A. Nri Kingdom And Hegemony, 1980, pg. 15). Without that unholy alliance against Nri, the amalgamation of the Southern and Northern protectorate of Nigeria in 1914 could not have been realized as quickly as it was by the colonial masters. Nri civilization has been an envy of Islamic rulers, British slave traders and colonial masters as well as missionary imperialists to this present day. On the local scene, Nri is today envied by Aguleri, Enugu-Ukwu, Igbo-Ukwu, Arochukwu, Okigwe, etc. Nri artifacts found and dug out on a parcel of land owned by Igbo-Ukwu through the conquest of Oleri (an offshoot of Nri) said it all (Shaw, T. Unearthing Igboukwu, 1977).

The 17th century history and social memory of the Diaspora further validate Nri as a classical civilization. Igbo slaves preferred to die rather than become slaves in the New World (Chambers B.B., the Igbo Diaspora in the Era of the Slave Trade: An Introductory History, 2013, Pg. 38). Ebos Landing is one of the big events leading up to the abolition of transatlantic slave trade in 1807.

Nri civilization and those of other civilizations in America (USA) have sparked up the noble ideas of George M. Cochran, a prominent Lawyer, state politician and justice of the supreme court of Virginia to found a new museum in his native Shenandoah Valley in Staunton dedicated to telling stories of the people who settled or passed through the colonial backcountry, the original American frontier. Nri is eminently important as the cultural bearer of the Igbo people, and with the understanding and knowledge of Nri history, tradition, culture and civilization, Professor Chief Douglas Chambers was appointed an advisory scholar to the frontier culture museum at Virginia for the establishment of an African Farm to add to other farm holdings by the museum.

On September 18th 2010, the Igbo Farm Village was launched with the historical specifics and attention to details of the expressive material culture and civilization of the culture bearers of the Igbo people. The Igbo Farm Village is lying side by side with American farms depicting the three key periods in its southern history; the 1730s colonial farm, the 1820s early national-era farm, the 1850s antebellum era farm alongside with the Tudor England Farm, the Ulster Irish Farm (and blacksmith shop), and the Palatine German Farm. Thanks to justice Cochran, John Avoli and Eric Bryai. Also our own professor J. Akuma-Kalu Njikoa (JAK-Ohamadike Ndi Igbo), Drs. Ejikeme Obasi, Kanayo Odeluga, Chiinyere Odeluga, Dr. Nwachukwu Anakwenze, whom the author know by reputation, and indeed our own nwa Nri Professor Chief Douglas B. Chambers (Okwulu Nri O ka kwa mee), for all their accomplishments towards the establishment of Igbo Farm Village. In the same vein let me also appreciate the

Viewing civilization from the world perspective and in support of Nri phenomenal exploits in Nigeria and in the Diaspora, I am convinced and I concretely propose that Nri is not just the center of an Igbo civilization of note, but indeed a world-class civilization.